arXiv:1207.2990v1 [math.AP] 12 Jul 2012

RADIAL GROWTH, LIPSCHITZ AND DIRICHLET SPACES ON SOLUTIONS TO THE YUKAWA EQUATION

SH. CHEN, A. RASILA, AND X. WANG *

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate some properties to solutions f to the Yukawa PDE: $\Delta f = \lambda f$ in the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$, where λ is a nonnegative constant. First, we prove that the answer to an open problem of Girela and Peláez, concerning such solutions, is positive. Then we study relationships on such solutions between the bounded mean oscillation and Lipschitz-type spaces. At last, we discuss Dirichlet-type energy integrals on such solutions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and give an application.

1. Introduction and Main results

Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the complex plane. We write $\mathbb{C}^n = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) : z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}\}$,

$$\mathbb{B}^n(a,r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z-a| = \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_k - a_k|^2 \Big)^{1/2} < 1 \right\}$$

and $\mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{B}^n(0,1)$, the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In particular, the unit disk of $\mathbb{C}$, i.e. $\mathbb{B}^2$, is denoted by $\mathbb{D}$. We use $d(z)$ to denote the Euclidean distance from z to the boundary of $\mathbb{B}^n$. Let λ be a nonnegative constant and $f = u + iv$ be a complex-valued function of $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{C}$, where u and v are real-valued and twice continuously differentiable functions of $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}$. The following elliptic partial differential equation, or briefly PDE in the following,

$$\Delta f(z) = \lambda f(z) \tag{1.1}$$

in $\mathbb{B}^n$ is called the *Yukawa PDE*, where Δ represents the usual complex Laplacian operator

$$\Delta := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_k^2} \right) = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \overline{z}_k}$$

for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Here $z_k = x_k + iy_k$.

Equation (1.1) arose out of an attempt by the Japanese physicist Hideki Yukawa to describe the nuclear potential of a point charge as $e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}r}/r$ (cf. [9, 24]). It is well known that each solution f to (1.1) belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{B}^n)$, i.e., they are infinitely differentiable in $\mathbb{B}^n$. We refer to [1, 3, 22] for basic results on the theory of elliptic

---

`File: PDE-6-28a.tex, printed: 13-7-2012, 0.19`

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32A10, 35J15; Secondary: 32C65, 58J10.

Key words and phrases. Yukawa PDE, Green's theorem, Lipschitz space.

* Corresponding author.

The research was partly supported by NSF of China (No. 11071063).

PDEs. Moreover, if $\lambda \leq 0$ in (1.1), then (1.1) is called *Helmholtz equation* (see [12]). Especially, if $\lambda = 0$ in (1.1), then f is a complex-valued *harmonic* mapping (cf. [2]). Moreover, if $\lambda = 0$ in (1.1) with $n = 1$, then f is a complex-valued planar harmonic mapping. It is known that every planar harmonic mapping f defined in $\mathbb{D}$ admits a decomposition $f = h + \overline{g}$, where h and g are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. We refer to [10] for basic results concerning planar harmonic mappings.

For a complex-valued and differentiable function f of $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{C}$, we introduce the following notations (cf. [4, 5]):

$$f_z = (f_{z_1}, \ldots, f_{z_n}),\ \ f_{\overline{z}} = (f_{\overline{z}_1}, \ldots, f_{\overline{z}_n}) \text{ and } \widetilde{\nabla} f = (f_z, f_{\overline{z}}).$$

Let $|\widetilde{\nabla} f|$ be the *Hilbert-Schmidt norm* given by

$$|\widetilde{\nabla} f| = (|f_z|^2 + |f_{\overline{z}}|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let $f = u + iv$ be a continuously differentiable mapping from $\mathbb{B}^n$ into $\mathbb{C}$, where u and v are real-valued functions. Then for $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n) = (x_1+iy_1, \cdots, x_n+iy_n) \in \mathbb{B}^n$,

$$|f_z(z)| + |f_{\overline{z}}(z)| \leq |\nabla u(z)| + |\nabla v(z)|, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_n}\right)$ and $\nabla v = \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_n}\right)$. But the converse of (1.2) is not always true (see [7]).

For $p \in (0, \infty]$, the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^p$ consists of those functions $f:\ \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that f is measurable, $M_p(r, f)$ exists for all $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\|f\|_p < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_p = \begin{cases} \sup\limits_{0<r<1} M_p(r, f), & \text{if } p \in (0, \infty), \\ \sup\limits_{z \in \mathbb{B}^n} |f(z)|, & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases} \qquad M_p(r, f) = \left(\int_{\partial \mathbb{B}^n} |f(r\zeta)|^p \, d\sigma(\zeta) \right)^{1/p}$$

and $d\sigma$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue surface measure in $\partial \mathbb{B}^n$.

A continuous increasing function $\omega:\ [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ is called a *majorant* if $\omega(t)/t$ is non-increasing for $t > 0$. Given a subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}$, a function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to the *Lipschitz space* $\Lambda_\omega(\Omega)$ if there is a positive constant C such that

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq C\omega(|z - w|) \ \text{ for all } z,\ w \in \Omega. \tag{1.3}$$

For $\delta_0 > 0$, let

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \, dt \leq C \cdot \omega(\delta),\ 0 < \delta < \delta_0 \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\delta \int_\delta^{+\infty} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2} \, dt \leq C \cdot \omega(\delta),\ 0 < \delta < \delta_0, \tag{1.5}$$

where ω is a majorant and C is a positive constant. A majorant ω is said to be *regular* if it satisfies the conditions (1.4) and (1.5) (see [11, 17]).

In [13], Girela and Peláez obtained the following result.

Theorem A. ([13, Theorem 1(a)]) *Let* $p \in (2, \infty)$. *For* $r \in (0, 1)$, *if* f *is an analytic function in* $\mathbb{D}$ *such that*

$$M_p(r, f') = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right) \quad as\ r \to 1,$$

then for all $\beta > 1/2$,

$$M_p(r, f) = O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\beta}\right) \quad as\ r \to 1. \tag{1.6}$$

In [13, P_{464}, Equation (26)], Girela and Peláez asked whether β in (1.6) can be substituted by $1/2$. This problem was affirmatively settled by Girela, Pavlovic and Peláez in [14]. In [6], the authors proved further that the answer to this problem is affirmative for the setting of complex-valued harmonic mappings in $\mathbb{D}$. The first aim of this paper is to show that the answer to this problem is also affirmative for mappings f satisfying (1.1) and $p \in [2, \infty)$. Our result is given as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let* $p \in [2, \infty)$, $\lambda \in [0, 4n/p)$ *and* ω *be a majorant. For* $r \in (0, 1)$, *if* f *is a solution to* (1.1) *such that*

$$M_p(r, \widetilde{\nabla} f) \leq C\omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-r}\Big),$$

then

$$M_p(r, f) \leq \Big(\frac{4n}{4n - p\lambda}\Big)^{1/2}\Big(|f(0)|^2 + 2p(p-1)C^2\omega(1)T(r)\Big)^{1/2},$$

where

$$T(r) = \int_0^1 \omega\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho r}\right) d\rho$$

and C *is a positive constant.*

By taking $\omega(t) = t$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.1. *Let* $p \in [2, \infty)$ *and* $\lambda \in [0, 4n/p)$. *For* $r \in (0, 1)$, *if* f *is a solution to* (1.1) *such that*

$$M_p(r, \widetilde{\nabla} f) = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right) \quad as\ r \to 1,$$

then

$$M_p(r, f) = O\left(\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1/2}\right) \quad as\ r \to 1.$$

Remark 1.1. Obviously, all analytic functions and complex-valued harmonic mappings defined in $\mathbb{B}^n$ are solutions to (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$, and there also are solutions which are neither analytic nor harmonic. For example, we can take $f(z) = e^{[\sum_{k=1}^{n}(z_k + \overline{z}_k/2)]}$, where $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$. Hence Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.1 are generalizations of [14, Theorem 1.1], [6, Theorem 1(a)] and [20, Corollary 6]. But it is not clear for us that what the best upper bound of λ in Theorem 1 is.

In [15], the author discussed the Lipschitz spaces on smooth functions. Dyakonov [11] discussed the relationship between the Lipschitz space and the bounded mean oscillation on analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$, and obtained the following result.

Theorem B. [11, Theorem 1] *Suppose that* f *is a analytic fucntion in* $\mathbb{D}$ *which is continuous up to the boundary of* $\mathbb{D}$. *If* ω *and* ω^2 *are regular majorants, then*

$$f \in L_{\omega}(\mathbb{D}) \Longleftrightarrow \big(\mathcal{P}_{|f|^2}(z) - |f(z)|^2\big)^{1/2} \leq C\omega(d(z)),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{|f|^2}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-|z|^2}{|z-e^{i\theta}|^2}|f(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$ *and* C *is a positive constant.*

For the solutions to (1.1), we also get the following theorem, which is similar to Theorem B.

Theorem 2. *Let* ω *be a majorant and* f *be a solution to* (1.1). *If* f *satisfies*

$$|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)| \leq C\omega\Big(\frac{1}{d(z)}\Big)$$

in $\mathbb{B}^n$, *then for all* $r \in (0, d(z)]$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)|}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - f(z)| dV(\zeta) \leq Cr\omega\Big(\frac{1}{r}\Big),$$

where C *is a positive constant and* dV *denotes the Lebesgue volume measure in* $\mathbb{B}^n$.

In particular, if f is a solution to (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$, then we have

Theorem 3. *Let* ω *be a majorant and* f *be a solution to* (1.1) *with* $\lambda = 0$. *Then* f *satisfies*

$$|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)| \leq C\omega\Big(\frac{1}{d(z)}\Big)$$

in $\mathbb{B}^n$ *if and only if for all* $r \in (0, d(z)]$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)|}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)} |f(\zeta) - f(z)| dV(\zeta) \leq Cr\omega\Big(\frac{1}{r}\Big),$$

where C *is a positive constant.*

Definition 1. Let f be a continuous function in $\mathbb{B}^n$. We say $f \in BMO$ if

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,r) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^n} \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)|}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)} \left| f(\zeta) - \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)|}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)} f(\xi) dV(\xi)\right| dV(\zeta)$$

is bounded, where $r \in (0, d(z)]$.

In particular, by taking $\omega(t) = t$ in Theorem 3, we get the following result.

Corollary 1.2. *Let* f *be a solution to* (1.1) *with* $\lambda = 0$. *Then* $f \in BMO$ *if and only if* $|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)| \leq M\frac{1}{d(z)}$ *holds in* $\mathbb{B}^n$.

For $\nu,\ \gamma,\ t\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$D_f(\nu,\gamma,t)=\int_{\mathbb{B}^n}(1-|z|)^{\nu}|f(z)|^{\gamma}|\widetilde{\nabla}f(z)|^{t}dV_N(z)$$

is called *Dirichlet-type energy integral* of f defined in $\mathbb{B}^n$, where dV_N denotes the normalized Lebesgue volume measure in $\mathbb{B}^n$ (cf. [12, 19, 20, 21]).

Theorem 4. *Let f be a solution to* (1.1)*. Then there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}}(1-|z|)^{1+\frac{2}{\beta}(n-1)}\Delta(|f(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}})dV_N(z)\leq C_1D_f(\beta-1,1,1)+C_2,$$

where $\beta\in(0,1]$.

As an application of Theorem 4, we get the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let f be a solution to* (1.1)*. If $n=1$ and $D_f(\beta-1,1,1)<\infty$, then $f\in\mathcal{H}^{\frac{2}{\beta}}$, where $\beta\in(0,1]$.*

2. Integral means and Lipschitz spaces

We start this section by recalling the following result (cf. [18, 20, 23]).

Theorem C. (Green's Theorem) *Let g be a function of class $C^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$. If $n\geq 2$, then for $r\in(0,1)$,*

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}g(r\zeta)\,d\sigma(\zeta)=g(0)+\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}\Delta g(z)G_{2n}(z,r)\,dV_N(z),$$

where $G_{2n}(z,r)=(|z|^{2(1-n)}-r^{2(1-n)})/[4n(n-1)]$. Moreover, if $n=1$, then for $r\in(0,1)$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}g(re^{i\theta})\,d\theta\ \ =\ \ g(0)+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{D}_r}\Delta g(z)\log\frac{r}{|z|}\,dA(z),$$

where dA denotes the normalized area measure in $\mathbb{D}$.

Recall that a real-valued and continuous function u defined in $\mathbb{B}^n$ is *subharmonic* if for all $z_0\in\mathbb{B}^n$, there is $\varepsilon\in(0,1-|z_0|)$ such that

$$u(z_0)\leq\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}u(z_0+r\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)$$

holds for all $r\in[0,\varepsilon)$. Moreover, if $u\in C^2(\mathbb{B}^n)$, then u is subharmonic if and only if $\Delta u\geq 0$ in $\mathbb{B}^n$ (cf. [8]).

Lemma 1. *Suppose that f is a solution to* (1.1)*. Then*
(I) for $p\in[2,\infty)$, $M_p^p(r,f)$ is increasing in $(0,1)$ and $|f|^p$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}^n$;
(II) $M_2^2(r,\widetilde{\nabla}f)$ is increasing in $(0,1)$ and $|\widetilde{\nabla}f|^2$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}^n$;

Moreover, if f is a solution of (1.1) *with $\lambda=0$, then $|f|^p$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}^n$ for $p\in[1,\infty)$.*

Proof. We first prove (I). For this, we consider the case where $p\in[2,4)$ and the case where $p\in[4,\infty)$, separately.

Case 1. *Suppose first* $p\in[2,4)$.

Let $F_m^p=(|f|^2+\frac{1}{m})^{p/2}$. By elementary calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(F_m^p) &= 4\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k\partial\overline{z}_k}(F_m^p)\\ &= p(p-2)(|f|^2+\frac{1}{m})^{\frac{p}{2}-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|f\overline{f}_{z_k}+f_{\overline{z}_k}\overline{f}\right|^2\\ &\quad +2p(|f|^2+\frac{1}{m})^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\widetilde{\nabla}f|^2+p\lambda|f|^2(|f|^2+\frac{1}{m})^{\frac{p}{2}-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $T_m=\Delta(F_m^p)$. Obviously, for $r\in(0,1)$, T_m is integrable in $\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)$ and $T_m\leq F$, where

$$F=p(p-2)|f|^{p-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(|f_{z_k}|+|f_{\overline{z}_k}|)^2+2p(1+|f|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\widetilde{\nabla}f|^2+p\lambda|f|^2(|f|^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}$$

and F is integrable in $\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)$. By Theorem C and Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{m\to\infty}r^{2n-1}\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r,F_m) &= \frac{1}{2n}\lim_{m\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}T_m dV_N(z)\\ &= \frac{1}{2n}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}\lim_{n\to\infty}T_n dV_N(z)\\ &= \frac{1}{2n}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}\big[p(p-2)|f|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f\overline{f}_{z_k}+\overline{f}f_{\overline{z}_k}|^2\\ &\quad +2p|f|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla}f|^2+p\lambda|f|^p\big]dV_N(z)\\ &= r^{2n-1}\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r,f)\\ &\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $M_p^p(r,f)$ is increasing in $(0,1)$ for $p\in[2,4)$.

Case 2. *Suppose then* $p\in[4,\infty)$.

By computations, we get

$$\Delta(|f|^p)=p(p-2)|f|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f\overline{f}_{z_k}+\overline{f}f_{\overline{z}_k}|^2+2p|f|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla}f|^2+p\lambda|f|^p\geq 0,$$

which gives that $M_p^p(r,f)$ is increasing in $(0,1)$.

By Cases 1 and 2, we see that for $p\in[2,\infty)$, $M_p^p(r,f)$ is increasing in $(0,1)$. This shows that for every point $z_0\in\mathbb{B}^n$,

$$|f(z_0)|^p\leq\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(z_0+r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta)$$

for all $r \in [0, 1-|z_0|)$. Hence $|f|^p$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}^n$. The proof of (I) is complete.

Now we come to prove (II).

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(|\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2) &= \Delta\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{n}(f_{z_k}\overline{f}_{z_k} + f_{\overline{z}_k}\overline{f}_{\overline{z}_k})\Big]\\ &= 4\lambda|\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2 + 4\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\big(|f_{z_k z_j}|^2 + |f_{\overline{z}_k\overline{z}_j}|^2 + |f_{\overline{z}_k z_j}|^2 + |f_{z_k\overline{z}_j}|^2\big)\\ &\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $M_2^2(r, \widetilde{\nabla} f)$ is increasing in $(0, 1)$ and $|\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}^n$.

In particular, if f is a solution to (1.1) with $\lambda = 0$, then f is a harmonic mapping. This implies that $|f|^p$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}^n$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$ (cf. [2]). The proof of this lemma is complete. □

By using Theorem C and the similar argument as in the proof of Case 1 of Lemma 1, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2. *Let* $p \in [2, \infty)$, $r \in (0, 1)$, *and suppose that* f *is a solution to* (1.1). *Then*

$$M_p^p(r, f) = |f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) G_{2n}(z, r)\, dV_N(z)$$

and

$$r^{2n-1}\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r, f) = \frac{1}{2n}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} \Delta\big(|f(z)|^p\big)\, dV_N(z),$$

where G_{2n} *is the function defined in Theorem* C.

The following result is useful to the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 3. *Let* $p \in [2, \infty)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ *and* f *be a solution to* (1.1). *Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} |f(z)|^p G_{2n}(z, r)\, dV_N(z) \leq \frac{r^2}{4n} M_p^p(r, f).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, we see that $M_p^p(\rho, f)$ is increasing on $\rho \in (0, r]$. Let

$$I(r) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} |f(z)|^p G_{2n}(z, r)\, dV_N(z).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(r) &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\int_0^r \left[\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n} |f(\rho\zeta)|^p \Big(\rho - \rho^{2n-1} r^{2(1-n)}\Big)\, d\sigma(\zeta)\right] d\rho\\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\int_0^r M_p^p(\rho, f)\big(\rho - \rho^{2n-1}r^{2(1-n)}\big)\, d\rho\\ &\leq \frac{M_p^p(r, f)}{2(n-1)}\int_0^r \big(\rho - \rho^{2n-1}r^{2(1-n)}\big)\, d\rho\\ &= \frac{r^2}{4n} M_p^p(r, f).\end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma is complete. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorems 1 and 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Set

$$\mathcal{A}(r,f)=\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(r\zeta)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla}f(r\zeta)|^2\,d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Then Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(r,f) &\leq \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|\widetilde{\nabla}f(r\zeta)|^p\,d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{2/p}\left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(r\zeta)|^p\,d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{(p-2)/p}\\ &= M_p^2(r,\widetilde{\nabla}f)\cdot M_p^{p-2}(r,f).\end{aligned}$$

By using polar coordinates, we see from Lemmas 2 and 3 that

$$\begin{aligned}M_p^p(r,f) &= |f(0)|^p+\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}\Delta(|f(z)|^p)G_{2n}(z,r)\,dV_N(z)\\ &\leq |f(0)|^p+\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}\left[2p(p-1)|f(z)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla}f(z)|^2+\lambda p|f(z)|^p\right]G_{2n}(z,r)\,dV_N(z)\\ &= |f(0)|^p+\int_0^r\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}4np(p-1)\rho^{2n-1}|f(\rho\zeta)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla}f(\rho\zeta)|^2G_{2n}(\rho\zeta,r)d\sigma(\zeta)d\rho\\ &\quad +p\lambda\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}|f(z)|^pG_{2n}(z,r)\,dV_N(z)\\ &= |f(0)|^p+\int_0^r 4np(p-1)\rho^{2n-1}G_{2n}(\rho\zeta,r)\mathcal{A}(\rho,f)d\rho\\ &\quad +p\lambda\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}|f(z)|^pG_{2n}(z,r)\,dV_N(z)\\ &\leq |f(0)|^p+4p(p-1)\int_0^r n\rho^{2n-1}G_{2n}(\rho\zeta,r)M_p^2(\rho,\widetilde{\nabla}f)M_p^{p-2}(\rho,f)\,d\rho\\ &\quad +\frac{p\lambda r^2}{4n}M_p^p(r,f),\end{aligned}$$

which, because $M_p(r,f)$ is increasing on r, implies

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1-\frac{p\lambda}{4n}\right)M_p^2(r,f) &\leq \left(1-\frac{p\lambda r^2}{4n}\right)M_p^2(r,f)\\ &\leq |f(0)|^2+4p(p-1)\int_0^r n\rho^{2n-1}G_{2n}(\rho\zeta,r)M_p^2(\rho,\widetilde{\nabla}f)\,d\rho\\ &= |f(0)|^2+2p(p-1)\int_0^1 r^2M_p^2(r\rho,\widetilde{\nabla}f)\cdot\frac{\rho(1-\rho^{2n-2})}{2(n-1)}\,d\rho\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq |f(0)|^2+2p(p-1)\int_0^1 M_p^2(r\rho,\widetilde{\nabla f})(1-\rho)\,d\rho\\
&\leq |f(0)|^2+2p(p-1)C^2\int_0^1\Big[\omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-r\rho}\Big)\Big]^2(1-\rho)\,d\rho\\
&\leq |f(0)|^2+2p(p-1)C^2\int_0^1\Big[\omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-r\rho}\Big)\Big]^2(1-r\rho)\frac{(1-\rho)}{(1-r\rho)}\,d\rho\\
&\leq |f(0)|^2+2p(p-1)C^2\omega(1)\int_0^1\omega\Big(\frac{1}{1-r\rho}\Big)d\rho\\
&= |f(0)|^2+2p(p-1)C^2\omega(1)T(r),
\end{aligned}
$$

where C is a positive constant. This observation gives the desired result:

$$
M_p(r,f)\leq\Big(\frac{4n}{4n-p\lambda}\Big)^{1/2}\Big(|f(0)|^2+2p(p-1)C^2\omega(1)T(r)\Big)^{1/2}.
$$

The proof of this theorem is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 2. For $z,\ w\in\mathbb{B}^n$ and $t\in[0,1]$, we have

$$
d\big(z+t(w-z)\big)=1-|z+t(w-z)|\geq d(z)-t|w-z|.
$$

Suppose that $d(z)-t|w-z|>0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)-f(w)| &= \Big|\int_0^1\frac{df}{dt}(wt+(1-t)z)dt\Big|\\
&= \Big|\sum_{k=1}^n(z_k-w_k)\int_0^1\frac{df}{d\varsigma_k}(wt+(1-t)z)dt\\
&\quad+\sum_{k=1}^n(\overline{z}_k-\overline{w}_k)\int_0^1\frac{df}{d\overline{\varsigma}_k}(wt+(1-t)z)dt\Big|\\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n|z_k-w_k|\cdot\Big|\int_0^1\frac{df}{d\varsigma_k}(wt+(1-t)z)dt\Big|\\
&\quad+\sum_{k=1}^n|\overline{z}_k-\overline{w}_k|\cdot\Big|\int_0^1\frac{df}{d\overline{\varsigma}_k}(wt+(1-t)z)dt\Big|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n}|z_k-w_k|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\{\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big(\int_0^1\Big|\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varsigma_k}(wt+(1-t)z)\Big|dt\Big)^2\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad +\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big(\int_0^1\Big|\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{\varsigma}_k}(wt+(1-t)z)\Big|dt\Big)^2\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\}\\
&\le \sqrt{n}|z-w|\Big[\int_0^1|f_{\varsigma}(wt+(1-t)z)|dt\\
&\quad +\int_0^1|f_{\overline{\varsigma}}(wt+(1-t)z)|dt\Big]\\
&\le \sqrt{2n}|z-w|\int_0^1|\widetilde{\nabla} f(wt+(1-t)z)|dt\\
&\le C\sqrt{2n}|w-z|\int_0^1\omega\left(\frac{1}{d(z)-t|w-z|}\right)dt\\
&= C\sqrt{2n}\int_0^{|w-z|}\omega\left(\frac{1}{d(z)-t}\right)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)|}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)}|f(\zeta)-f(z)|dV(\zeta)\\
&\le \frac{C\sqrt{2n}}{|\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)|}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}\left\{\int_0^{|\xi|}\omega\left(\frac{1}{d(z)-t}\right)dt\right\}dV(\xi)\\
&= \frac{C2n\sqrt{2n}}{r^{2n}}\int_0^r\rho^{2n-1}\left\{\int_0^{\rho}\omega\Big(\frac{1}{d(z)-t}\Big)dt\right\}d\rho\\
&\le \frac{C2n\sqrt{2n}}{r^{2n}}\int_0^r\left\{\int_t^r\rho^{2n-1}d\rho\right\}\omega\left(\frac{1}{r-t}\right)dt\\
&\le \frac{C\sqrt{2n}}{r^{2n}}\int_0^r(r-t)\left(r^{2n-1}+r^{2n-2}t+\cdots+t^{2n-1}\right)\omega\left(\frac{1}{r-t}\right)dt\\
&\le \frac{C\sqrt{2n}}{r^{2n}}r\omega\Big(\frac{1}{r}\Big)\int_0^r\left(r^{2n-1}+r^{2n-2}t+\cdots+t^{2n-1}\right)dt\\
&= C\sqrt{2n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2n}\frac{1}{j}\right)r\omega\Big(\frac{1}{r}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varsigma=(\varsigma_1,\cdots,\varsigma_n)=wt+(1-t)z$ and C is a positive constant. The proof of this theorem is complete. □

In order to prove Theorem 3, we need the following lemma. Using the similar arguments as in the proof of [16, Lemma 2.5], we have

Lemma 4. *Suppose that* $f:\ \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n(a,r)\to\mathbb{C}$ *is a continuous function in* $\overline{\mathbb{B}}^n(a,r)$ *and harmonic in* $\mathbb{B}^n(a,r)$. *Then*

$$|\widetilde{\nabla f}(a)|\leq\frac{4n\sqrt{n}}{r}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(a+r\zeta)-f(a)|d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Proof. Let $f=u+iv$, where u and v are real harmonic functions in $\mathbb{B}^n(a,r)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a=0$ and $f(0)=0$. Let

$$K(z,\zeta)=\frac{r^{2n-2}(r^2-|z|^2)}{|z-r\zeta|^{2n}}.$$

Then

$$u(z)=\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}K(z,\zeta)u(r\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta),\ z\in\mathbb{B}^n(0,r).$$

By direct calculations, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}K(z,\zeta)=r^{2n-2}\Big[\frac{-2x_j}{|z-r\zeta|^{2n}}-\frac{2n(r^2-|z|^2)(x_j-r\alpha_j)}{|z-r\zeta|^{2n+2}}\Big]$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}K(z,\zeta)=r^{2n-2}\Big[\frac{-2y_j}{|z-r\zeta|^{2n}}-\frac{2n(r^2-|z|^2)(y_j-r\beta_j)}{|z-r\zeta|^{2n+2}}\Big],$$

which gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}K(0,\zeta)=\frac{2n\alpha_j}{r}\ \text{and}\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}K(0,\zeta)=\frac{2n\beta_j}{r},\tag{2.1}$$

where $z=(z_1,\cdots,z_n)=(x_1+iy_1,\cdots,x_n+iy_n)$ and $\zeta=(\alpha_1+i\beta_1,\cdots,\alpha_n+i\beta_n)\in\partial\mathbb{B}^n$. Then by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla u(0)| &= \Big[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\Big|\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}K(0,\zeta)u(r\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\Big|^2\\ &\quad+\Big|\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}K(0,\zeta)u(r\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\Big|^2\Big)\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\Big|\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}K(0,\zeta)u(r\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\Big|\\ &\quad+\Big|\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}K(0,\zeta)u(r\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\Big|\Big)\\ &\leq \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|u(r\zeta)|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}K(0,\zeta)\Big|+\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}K(0,\zeta)\Big|\Big)d\sigma(\zeta)\\ &\leq \sqrt{2n}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|u(r\zeta)|\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}K(0,t)\Big|^2+\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}K(0,t)\Big|^2\Big)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}d\sigma(\zeta)\\ &= \frac{2n\sqrt{2n}}{r}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|u(r\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|\nabla v(0)|\leq\frac{2n\sqrt{2n}}{r}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|v(r\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Then by (1.2), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{\nabla}f(0)| &\leq |f_z(0)|+|f_{\overline{z}}(0)|\\ &\leq |\nabla u(0)|+|\nabla v(0)|\\ &\leq \frac{2n\sqrt{2n}}{r}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|u(r\zeta)|+|v(r\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta)\\ &\leq \frac{4n\sqrt{n}}{r}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(r\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta).\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 3. First, we show the "if" part. By Lemma 4, we have

$$|\widetilde{\nabla}f(z)|\leq\frac{4n\sqrt{n}}{\rho}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(z+\rho\zeta)-f(z)|d\sigma(\zeta),$$

where $\rho\in(0,d(z)]$. Let $r=d(z)$. Then we have

$$\int_0^r|\widetilde{\nabla}f(z)|\rho^{2n}d\rho\leq 2\sqrt{n}\int_0^r\Big(2n\rho^{2n-1}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(z)-f(z+\rho\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta)\Big)d\rho,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{\nabla}f(z)| &\leq \frac{2(2n+1)\sqrt{n}}{r^{2n+1}}\int_0^r\Big(2n\rho^{2n-1}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(z)-f(z+\rho\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta)\Big)d\rho\\ &= \frac{2(2n+1)\sqrt{n}}{r|\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)|}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,r)}|f(\xi)-f(z)|dV(\xi)\\ &\leq 2(2n+1)\sqrt{n}C\omega\Big(\frac{1}{r}\Big)\\ &= 2(2n+1)\sqrt{n}C\omega\left(\frac{1}{d(z)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The "only if" part easily follows from Theorem 2. The proof of the theorem is complete. □

3. THE FINITE DIRICHLET ENERGY INTEGRAL AND ITS APPLICATION

Lemma 5. *Let f be a solution to (1.1). Then for $p\in[2,\infty)$ and $\beta\in(0,\infty)$,*

$$D_f(\beta,p-2,2)\leq\frac{\beta\sqrt{2}}{2}D_f(\beta-1,p-1,1).$$

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r^{2n-1}\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r,f) &= \frac{1}{2n}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)}\Big[p(p-2)|f(z)|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f(z)\overline{f_{z_k}(z)}+\overline{f(z)}f_{\overline{z}_k}(z)|^2\\
&\quad +2p|f(z)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2+p\lambda|f(z)|^p\Big]dV_N(z),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{2n-1}\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r,f)\right) &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}r^{2n-1}\Big[2p|f(r\zeta)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(r\zeta)|^2\\
&\quad +p(p-2)|f(r\zeta)|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f(r\zeta)\overline{f_{z_k}(r\zeta)}+\overline{f(r\zeta)}f_{\overline{z}_k}(r\zeta)|^2\\
&\quad +p\lambda|f(r\zeta)|^p\Big]d\sigma(\zeta).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

In addition, we see

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r,f) &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}\frac{d}{dr}(|f(r\zeta)|^p)d\sigma(\zeta)\\
&= p\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(r\zeta)|^{p-2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big(f_{z_k}(r\zeta)\overline{f(r\zeta)}+f(r\zeta)\overline{f_{\overline{z}_k}(r\zeta)}\Big)\zeta_k\right]d\sigma(\zeta)\\
&\leq p\sqrt{2}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(r\zeta)|^{p-1}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(r\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

where $\zeta=(\zeta_1,\cdots,\zeta_n)\in\partial\mathbb{B}^n$.

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\beta p\sqrt{2}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n}(1-|z|)^{\beta-1}|f(z)|^{p-1}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|dV_N(z)\tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta p\sqrt{2}\int_0^1 2nr^{2n-1}(1-r)^{\beta-1}\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|f(r\zeta)|^{p-1}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(r\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta)dr\\
&\geq \beta\int_0^1 2nr^{2n-1}(1-r)^{\beta-1}\left(\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r,f)\right)dr\\
&= \int_0^1 2n(1-r)^{\beta}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{2n-1}\frac{d}{dr}M_p^p(r,f)\right)dr
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^1 2n(1-r)^\beta \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n} r^{2n-1}\Big[2p|f(r\zeta)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(r\zeta)|^2 \\
&\quad +p(p-2)|f(r\zeta)|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f(r\zeta)\overline{f_{z_k}(r\zeta)}+\overline{f(r\zeta)}f_{\overline{z}_k}(r\zeta)|^2 \\
&\quad +p\lambda|f(r\zeta)|^p\Big]d\sigma(\zeta)dr \\
&= 2p\int_{\mathbb{B}^n}\Big[|f(z)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2+\frac{\lambda}{2}|f(z)|^p+ \\
&\quad \big(\frac{p}{2}-1\big)|f(z)|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big|f(z)\overline{f_{z_k}(z)}+\overline{f(z)}f_{\overline{z}_k}(z)\Big|^2\Big](1-|z|)^\beta dV_N(z) \\
&\geq 2p\int_{\mathbb{B}^n}|f(z)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2(1-|z|)^\beta dV_N(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$D_f(\beta,p-2,2)\leq\frac{\beta\sqrt{2}}{2}D_f(\beta-1,p-1,1),$$

from which the proof follows. □

By elementary computations, we easily see that

Lemma 6. *Suppose that* $a,\ b\in[0,\infty)$ *and* $q\in(0,\infty)$*. Then*

$$(a+b)^q\leq 2^{\max\{q-1,0\}}(a^q+b^q).$$

Proof of Theorem 4. By Lemma 1, we know that $|\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2$ is subharmonic in $\mathbb{B}^n$. Then for $r\in[0,1-|z|)$, we have

$$|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2\leq\int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z+r\zeta)|^2d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Integration and Lemma 5 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(1-|z|)^{2n}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2}{2^{2n}} &\leq \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}^n}\int_0^{\frac{1-|z|}{2}} 2nr^{2n-1}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z+r\zeta)|^2drd\sigma(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,\frac{1-|z|}{2})}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(\xi)|^2dV_N(\xi) \\
&\leq 2^\beta(1-|z|)^{-\beta}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(z,\frac{1-|z|}{2})}(1-|\xi|)^\beta|\widetilde{\nabla} f(\xi)|^2dV_N(\xi) \\
&\leq 2^\beta D_f(\beta,0,2)(1-|z|)^{-\beta} \\
&\leq \beta 2^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}D_f(\beta-1,1,1)(1-|z|)^{-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|\leq\frac{C_3}{(1-|z|)^{n+\frac{\beta}{2}}}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $C_3=\sqrt{\beta 2^{\beta-1/2+2n}D_f(\beta-1,1,1)}$.

By (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq |f(0)| + \left|\int_{[0,z]} df(\zeta)\right| \\ &\leq |f(0)| + \sqrt{2}\int_{[0,z]} |\widetilde{\nabla} f(\zeta)||d\zeta| \\ &\leq |f(0)| + \frac{C_4}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_4 = \sqrt{2}C_3/(n-1+\beta/2)$ and $[0,z]$ denotes the segment from 0 to z. Then by Lemma 6, we see that for $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} &\leq \left[|f(0)| + \frac{C_4}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{\beta}{2}+n-1}}\right]^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{2}{\beta}-1}\left[|f(0)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} + \frac{C_4^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}{(1-|z|)^{1+\frac{2(n-1)}{\beta}}}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}-2} &\leq \left[|f(0)| + \frac{C_4}{(1-|z|)^{\beta/2+n-1}}\right]^{\frac{2}{\beta}-2} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{2}{\beta}-2}\left[|f(0)|^{\frac{2}{\beta}-2} + \frac{C_4^{\frac{2}{\beta}-2}}{(1-|z|)^{1-\beta+(n-1)(\frac{2}{\beta}-2)}}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Let $p = 2/\beta$. We divide the rest of the proof into two cases.

Case 3. *Let* $p \in [4,\infty)$.

By direct calculations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(|f|^p) &= 4\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k \partial \overline{z}_k}(|f|^p) \\ &= p(p-2)|f|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n} |f_{z_k}\overline{f} + \overline{f}_{\overline{z}_k} f|^2 + 2p|f|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2 + p\lambda|f|^p \\ &\leq 2p(p-1)|f|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2 + p\lambda|f|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Hence by (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we conclude that for $z \in \mathbb{B}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)}\Delta(|f(z)|^p) &\leq 2p(p-1)(1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)}|f(z)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2 \\ &\quad + p\lambda(1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)}|f(z)|^p \\ &\leq 2p(p-1)(1-|z|)^{\beta}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2(1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)-\beta}|f(z)|^{p-2} \\ &\quad + p\lambda 2^{p-1}\big(C_4^p + |f(0)|^p\big) \\ &\leq C_5 + C_6(1-|z|)^{\beta}|\widetilde{\nabla} f(z)|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $C_5 = p\lambda 2^{p-1}\big(C_4^p + |f(0)|^p\big)$ and $C_6 = 2p(p-1)2^{p-2}\big(|f(0)|^{p-2} + C_4^{p-2}\big)$. By Theorem 5, we know

$$D_f(\beta,0,2) \leq \frac{\beta\sqrt{2}}{2} D_f(\beta-1,1,1). \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, (3.9) and (3.10) imply that there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} (1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)}\Delta(|f(z)|^p)dV_N(z) \leq C_1 D_f(\frac{2}{p}-1,1,1) + C_2.$$

Case 4. *Let* $p \in [2,4)$.

In this case, we let $F_m^p = (|f|^2 + \frac{1}{m})^{p/2}$, and let $T_m = \Delta(F_m^p)$. Obviously, for $r \in (0,1)$, T_m is integrable in $\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)$ and $T_m \leq F$, where

$$F = p(p-2)|f|^{p-2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(|f_{z_k}| + |f_{\overline{z}_k}|)^2 + 2p(1+|f|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}|\widetilde{\nabla f}|^2 + p\lambda|f|^2(|f|^2+1)^{\frac{p}{2}-1}$$

and F is integrable in $\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)$.

Then, by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem together with (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} (1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)}\Delta(F_m^p(z))dV_N(z) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} (1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)} \lim_{n\to\infty}\big[\Delta(F_m^p(z))\big]dV_N(z) \\
= & \; p\int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} \Big[\big(p-2\big)|f(z)|^{p-4}\sum_{k=1}^{n}|f_{z_k}(z)\overline{f(z)} + \overline{f_{\overline{z}_k}(z)}f(z)|^2 \\
& +2|f(z)|^{p-2}|\widetilde{\nabla f}(z)|^2 + \lambda|f(z)|^p\Big](1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)}\,dV_N(z) \\
\leq & \int_{\mathbb{B}^n(0,r)} [C_5 + C_6(1-|z|)^{\beta}|\widetilde{\nabla f}(z)|^2]dV_N(z),
\end{aligned}$$

and so we infer from (3.6), (3.7) and Theorem 5 that there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} (1-|z|)^{1+p(n-1)}\Delta(|f(z)|^p)dV_N(z) \leq C_1 D_f(\frac{2}{p}-1,1,1) + C_2.$$

The proof of this theorem is complete. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. For a fixed $r \in (0,1)$, since

$$\lim_{|z|\to r}\frac{\log r - \log|z|}{r-|z|} = \frac{1}{r},$$

we see that there is $r_0 \in (0,r)$ satisfying

$$\log r - \log|z| \leq \frac{2}{r}(r-|z|)$$

for $r_0 \leq |z| < r$. Let $p = 2/\beta$. The it follows from

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0+} \rho \log \frac{1}{\rho} = 0$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(3.11)} \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \log \frac{r}{|z|}\, d\sigma(z) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \log \frac{1}{|z|}\, d\sigma(z) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{r_0} \Delta(|f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^p) \rho \log \frac{1}{\rho} d\rho d\theta \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_f(\beta - 1, 1, 1) < \infty$, it follows from Theorem 4 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p)(1 - |z|)\, d\sigma(z) < \infty. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence by (3.11), (3.12) and Theorem C, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M_p^p(r, f) &= |f(0)|^p + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_r} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \log \frac{r}{|z|}\, d\sigma(z) \\ &= |f(0)|^p + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \log \frac{r}{|z|}\, d\sigma(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_r\setminus\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \log \frac{r}{|z|}\, d\sigma(z) \\ &\leq |f(0)|^p + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \log \frac{r}{|z|}\, d\sigma(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{D}_r\setminus\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \frac{(r - |z|)}{r}\, d\sigma(z) \\ &\leq |f(0)|^p + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p) \log \frac{r}{|z|}\, d\sigma(z) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus\mathbb{D}_{r_0}} \Delta(|f(z)|^p)(1 - |z|)\, d\sigma(z) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since Lemma 1 shows that the function $M_p^p(r, f)$ is increasing with respect to r in $(0, 1)$, we know that the limit

$$\lim_{r\to 1-} M_p(r, f)$$

does exist, which implies $f \in \mathcal{H}^p$. The proof of the corollary is complete. □

REFERENCES

1. G. Arfken, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 3rd ed., Orlando, FL, Academic Press, 1985.
2. S. Axler, P. Bourdon and W. Ramey, *Harmonic function theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 137. Springer, 1992.

3. S. Bergman and M. Schiffer, *Kernel functions and elliptic differential equation in mathematical physics*, Pure and applied Mathematics, Vol. 4, Academic Press, New York, 1953.
4. SH. Chen and X. Wang, On harmonic Bloch spaces in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.,* **84** (2011), 67–78.
5. SH. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Landau's theorem for p-harmonic mappings in several variables, *Ann. Polon. Math.,* **103** (2012), 67–87.
6. SH. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Integral means and coefficient estimates on planar harmonic mappings, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **37** (2012), 69–79.
7. SH. Chen, S. Ponnusamy and X. Wang, Weighted Lipschitz continuity, Schwarz-Pick's Lemma and Landau-Bloch's theorem for hyperbolic-harmonic mappings in $\mathbb{C}^n$, http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.6690.
8. J. L. Doob, *Classical Potential Theory and Its Probabilistic Counterpart*, Springer, New York, 1984.
9. R. J. Duffin, Yukawa potential theory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **35** (1971), 104–130.
10. P. Duren, *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004.
11. K. M. Dyakonov, Equivalent norms on Lipschitz-type spaces of holomorphic functions, *Acta Math.* **178** (1997), 143–167.
12. L. C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
13. D. Girela and J. A. Peláez, Integral means of analytic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **29** (2004), 459–469.
14. D. Girela, M. Pavlovic and J. A. Peláez, Spaces of analytic functions of Hardy-Bloch type, *J. Anal. Math.*, **100** (2006), 53–81.
15. S. G. Krantz, Lipschitz spaces, smoothness of functions, and approximation theory, *Expo. Math.*, **3** (1983), 193–260.
16. M. Mateljević and M. Vuorinen, On harmonic quasiconformal quasi-isometries, *J. Inequal. Appl.,* Volume 2010, Article ID 178732, 19 pages doi:10.1155/2010/1787.
17. M. Pavlović, On Dyakonov's paper Equivalent norms on Lipschitz-type spaces of holomorphic functions, *Acta Math.* **183**(1999), 141–143.
18. M. Pavlović, Green's formula and the Hardy-Stein indentities, *Filomat,* **23**(2009), 135–153.
19. J. H. Shi, Inequalities for the integral means of holomorphic functions and their derivatives in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **328** (1991), 619–637.
20. S. Stević, Area type inequalities and integral means of harmonic functions on the unit ball, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, **59**(2007), 583–601.
21. K. J. Wirths and J. Xiao, An image-area inequality for some planar holomorphic maps, *Result. Math.,* **38** (2000), 172–179.
22. S. Yamashita, Dirichlet-finite functions and harmonic majorants, *Illinois J. Math*, **25**(1981), 626–631.
23. K. Zhu, *Spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit ball.* Springer, New York, 2005.
24. J. L. Schiff and W. J. Walker, A sampling theorem for a class of pseudoanalytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **111**(1991), 695–699.

Sh. Chen, Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: `shlchen1982@yahoo.com.cn`

A. Rasila, Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University, P. O. Box 11100, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland.
E-mail address: `antti.rasila@iki.fi`

X. Wang, Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: `xtwang@hunnu.edu.cn`